UFIOU

(3)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT JUDICATURE

IN THE MATTER OF W.P. No.3051./2004

PETITIONER: M/S BHULLAR CONSTRUCTION THROUGH PROPRIETOR SHRI JASVINDER SINGH BHULLAR, SON OF SHRI S.H.S. BHULLAR, AGED ABOUT __ YEARS, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE & POST DARRI ROAD, KORBA, DISTRICT KORBA [C.G)

P. R. No. 3049/04
Presented by Shri Ajay Dubey
dated 1-9-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE EMPLOYEES' PROVIDENT FUND ORGANIZATION,MINISTRY OF LABOUR, GOVT. OF INDIA) THROUGH THE REGIONAL PROVIDENT FUND COMMISSIONER, REGIONAL OFFICE, RAIPUR (C.G.)
2. THE REGIONAL PROVIDENT FUND COMMISSIONER & QUASI JUDICIAL AUTHORITY UNDER SECTION 7-A OF EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS&MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS ACT 1952, SHRI BIBHISHAN PADHAN, REGIONAL OFFICE, RAIPUR [C.G.]
3. PUNJAB AND SINDH BANK, THROUGH THE

(4) (7)

MANAGER, PUNJAB AND SINDH BANK KORBA(C.G.)

4. STATE BANK OF INDIA, THROUGH THE MANAGER SBI, BUS STAND, KORBA (C.G)

5. CANARA BANK, THROUGH THE MANAGER CANARA BANK, KORBA (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT/ORDER OR DIRECTION AS DEEMED FIT

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

29

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 3051/2004 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

20.09.2004

On a mention being made, the matter is taken up.

Ku.Sunita Jain, counsel for the petitioner(s).

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

It is stated that the petitioner is a contractor who is covered under Employees Provident Fund and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the Employees Provident Fund Account has been seized and the labourers/employees are not being paid their dues, as such they are in great difficulty and they are at the verge of starvation. She further submits that for the present the petitioners may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before Respondent no.2/the competent authority, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioners to make a detailed representation raising grievances before respondent no.2/the competent authority along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 10 days, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same by a reasoned order on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within 4 weeks from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the parties including the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently application for interim relief also stands disposed of.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Roo1-

24.9

[पीठ दाखिये